

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/239,873
 01/29/99
 LUHMAN
 C
 LL11.12-0040

000164 HM22/0331 KINNEY & LANGE, P.A. THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING 312 SOUTH THIRD STREET MINNEAPOLIS MN 55415-1002 LEVY, N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1617

DATE MAILED:

03/31/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

•	
Office Action Summary Symples	1873 CullMAN
Examiner WAT	Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-	
Peri df r Response	20 DAYS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	MONTH(S) FROM THE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> </ul>	
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
7-27	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
$\Box$ Claim(s) $+$ $ 2$ $7$	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	roquiromonia.
$\ \square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> </ul>	
☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachm nt(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	_ □ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other
Office Acti n Summary	

Application/Control Number: 09/239873

Art Unit: 1617

Receipt is acknowledged of Associate P/A of 3/26/99, IDS (5/10/99) IDS (6/14/99) and IDS (11/15/99).

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14,19-24, drawn to methods of feed administration, classified in class 424, subclass 438.
- II. Claims 15-18, drawn to Feed Production, classified in class 426, subclass 54.
- III. Claims 25-27, drawn to Feed, classified in class 514, subclass 738.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

The process of Group I and II are patentably distinct independent invention.

Inventions of Group I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MEP. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as an insect bait.

Inventions of Groups II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MEP. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product; bait.

Art Unit: 1617

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of administration; oral, surgical, infusion.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,5,8-10,12-14,19-21,24 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MEP. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1617

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I,II or III is not required for Group III,II or I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F from 7AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Adams, Ph.D., JD, can be reached on (703) 308-0570. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Application/Control Number: 09/239873

Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Levy/sg

March 19, 2000

NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Melber